arXiv:1207.7001v1 [math.QA] 30 Jul 2012

GENERALISED DIFFERENTIAL CALCULUS AND HOPF QUIVERS

SHAHN MAJID & WEN-QING TAO

Abstract. We study generalised differential algebras $(A, \Omega^1, \mathrm{d})$ where surjectivity is relaxed so that not every element of Ω^1 need be a sum of elements of the form $a\mathrm{d}b$. The paper concerns mostly the case where A is a Hopf algebra and the calculus is bicovariant. A generalised calculus encodes a quiver containing a digraph in the case of functions on a finite set, and when $A = k(G)$ on a finite group we show that it is equivalent to the existence of a Hopf quiver containing a Cayley digraph, and classified by 'ramification data' $Q(G, R)$ and a contained ad-stable subset. In general a generalised differential algebra on a Hopf algebra with invertible antipode is equivalent to an object Λ^1 in the braided category of crossed (or Drinfeld-Radford-Yetter) modules over A and a morphism $\omega : A^+ \to \Lambda^1$ where A^+ is an object in this category by right multiplication and the adjoint coaction. We also study the exterior algebra expressed in the bicovariant case as a super-Hopf algebra Ω equipped with a differential d that is both a super derivation and a super coderivation, and corresponding to a super braded Hopf algebra Λ equipped with a certain super derivation structure.

1. Introduction

A differential algebra means an algebra A equipped with an $A - A$ bimodule Ω^1 and an 'exterior derivative' $\mathrm{d} : A \to \Omega^1$ obeying the product rule

$$\mathrm{d}(ab) = (\mathrm{d}a)b + a\mathrm{d}b, \quad \forall a, b \in A$$

and a 'surjectivity axiom' that $\phi : A \otimes A \to \Omega^1$, $a \otimes b \mapsto a\mathrm{d}b$ is surjective. One says that the calculus is connected if $\ker\mathrm{d} = k1$ where k is the ground field. This axiom ensures that any calculus is a quotient of the universal one $\Omega^1_{univ} = \ker(m : A \otimes A \to A)$ by a sub-bimodule. This is because the above map remains surjective when restricted to Ω^1_{univ} and then becomes a bimodule map. One is also interested in extending Ω^1 to a differential graded algebra $\Omega = \oplus_i \Omega^i$ with $\Omega^0 = A$ and d extending to a degree 1 map such that $\mathrm{d}^2 = 0$ obeying the graded product rule. The cohomology of this complex is then called the *noncommutative de Rham cohomology* of the differential algebra A and its extension. This theory goes back to Quillen and others in the 1970s and is now common to most approaches to noncommutative geometry.

Date: July 31, 2012.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 81R50, 58B32, 20D05.

Key words and phrases. noncommutative geometry, quantum group, Hopf algebra, quiver, Hopf quiver, crossed module.

The second author is supported by the China Scholarship Council.

In this paper we consider the more general theory where the surjectivity is dropped. There turn out to be many natural situations where this occurs and we show that one can still have a differential complex of interest. One always has an ordinary differential algebra $\bar{\Omega}^1$ defined as the image of ϕ and our interest is in what happens to the rest of Ω^1 particularly when we extend to Ω. Section 2 has some general theory and the example of $A = k(X)$ the functions on a finite set. Here ordinary calculi correspond to digraphs on X while our generalised ones to quivers.

Specifically, the theory of bicovariant such differential calculi on Hopf algebras A has been around for almost 20 years now[11] and many examples are known. However, this theory is almost always developed with reference the universal calculus and quotients of it. Here we do not assume this and in Section 3.1 we take a new approach to this theory so as to apply in our more general setting. We classify bicovariant generalised first order calculi in terms of data (Λ^1, ω), where Λ^1 is a right crossed A-module (or Drinfeld-Radford-Yetter-module, basically a module of Drinfeld's celebrated quantum double of A), and ω is a crossed-module morphism $\omega : A^+ \to \Lambda^1$. Here A^+ is the augmentation ideal. Section 3.2 characterises when the generalised calculus is inner and Section 3.3 studies the extension to a complex Ω, in terms of extending Λ^1 to an a graded algebra Λ of left-invariant forms equipped with a degree 1 super derivation (Proposition 3.7) obeying certain properties.

For a full theory here we are led to introduce a notion of a *strongly bicovariant* exterior algebra (Ω, d) on a Hopf algebra A, defined as a graded super-Hopf algebra equipped with d such that $\mathrm{d}^2 = 0$ and d is a degree 1 superderivation and super coderivation. It is known for ordinary calculi that the Woronowicz exterior algebra is a super-Hopf algebra[2] and indeed this appears as an example in our new more general approach to bicovariant exterior algebras on Hopf algebras. Theorem3.11 gives an equivalence with (Λ, δ) a super braided-Hopf algebra in the braided category of crossed modules equipped with δ a degree 1 super derivation with certain properties. At least in the inner case we provide an explicit class of examples $\Omega(A, \Lambda^1)$ where Λ is the super-braided Hopf algebra generated by Λ^1 as a crossed module. There is also a non-super or bosonic version $\mathcal{S}(A, \Lambda^1)$ which is an ordinary Hopf algebra but without the exterior derivative or the geometric interpretation of the super case.

Section 4 then specialises this theory to the case of $A = k(G)$, G a finite group. The data (Λ^1, ω) becomes a Hopf quiver datum $Q(G, R)$ in the sense of Cibils and Rosso together with an ad-stable subset contained in its support, which we write as sub Hopf quiver $Q(G, r)$, while S becomes the associated path Hopf algebra. Meanwhile Ω in the strongly bicovariant case becomes a case of the super path Hopf algebra theory of [4]. Consequently our new approach to bicovariant exterior algebras in Section 3.3 could be called a 'super quantum Hopf quiver' in that the group is replaced by a quantum group, with the additional data of the exterior differential d.

2. Generalised differential algebras

We work over a general field k. We define a generalised differential algebra as an algebra A equippped with an $A-A$-bimodule Ω^1 and a linear map $\mathrm{d} : A \to \Omega^1$ obeying the product rule. This is an standard differential algebra if the induced map $\phi : A\otimes A\to\Omega^1$ is surjective. Note that $\bar\Omega^1=\phi(A\otimes A)$ and d provide an ordinary differential algebra contained in any generalised one. We say that a generalised calculus is 'inner' if there exists an element $\theta\in\Omega^1$ such that

$$[\theta,a]=\mathrm{d}a,\quad \forall a\in A,$$

where $[\theta,a]=\theta a-a\theta$. This is the same definition as in the standard case and is the same as saying that $(\bar\Omega^1,\mathrm{d})$ is inner.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that Ω^1 extends to a differential graded algebra Ω generated by A,Ω^1. Then the graded subalgebra generated by $A,\bar\Omega^1$ is a differential graded subalgebra of Ω.*

Proposition 2.2. *Let X be a finite set. Generalised first order calculi on $A=k(X)$ are in 1-1 correspondence with the following data:*

1. *$\Omega^1=\sum_{x,y\in X}\Omega^1_{x,y}$ is a bigraded vector space with components labelled by $X\times X$*
2. *$\theta\in\Omega^1$.*

The calculus is necessarily inner. It is a standard (not generalised) one iff $\dim\Omega^1_{x,y}\in\{0,1\}$ for all x,y and $\dim\Omega^1_{x,x}=0$ for all x.

Proof. By considering the Kronecker δ_x it is immediate the bimodules are of the bigraded form stated with $f\omega=f(x)\omega$ and $\omega f=f(y)\omega$ for all $f\in k(X)$ and $\omega\in\Omega^1_{x,y}$. So the only issue is what data gives d? We let $\mathrm{d}\delta_x=\theta(x)=\sum_{y,z}\theta_{y,z}(x)$ for some collection of 1-forms $\{\theta(x)\}$. The Leibniz rule

$$\delta_x\mathrm{d}\delta_x=\mathrm{d}\delta_x-(\mathrm{d}\delta_x)\delta_x.$$

This equation $(\ )\delta_x$ gives $\delta_x(\mathrm{d}\delta_x)\delta_x=0$ hence

$$\theta_{x,x}(x)=0.$$

If $y\neq x$, the same equation $\delta_y(\ )$ gives $\delta_y\mathrm{d}\delta_x=\delta_y(\mathrm{d}\delta_x)\delta_x$ hence

$$\sum_{z\neq x}\theta_{y,z}(x)=0,\quad\forall y\neq x$$

As these terms are all in different spaces we conclude that

$$\theta_{y,z}(x)=0,\quad\forall y,z\neq x.$$

Next, if $y\neq x$ we have $\delta_y\mathrm{d}\delta_x+(\mathrm{d}\delta_y)\delta_x=0$. Given the result already obtained, this implies

$$\theta_{y,x}(x)+\theta_{y,x}(y)=0,\quad\forall y\neq x.$$

Putting these results together we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}f&=\sum_{y\neq x}f(x)(\theta_{x,y}(x)+\theta_{y,x}(x))=\sum_{y\neq x}(f(x)\theta_{x,y}(x)+f(y)\theta_{x,y}(y))\\&=\sum_{y\neq x}(f(y)-f(x))\theta_{x,y}(y)=[\theta,f]\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\theta=\sum_{y\neq x}\theta_{x,y}(y).$$

Conversely, given any $\theta \in \Omega^1$ we define $\mathrm{d}f = [\theta, f]$ or equivalently we define $\mathrm{d}\delta_y$ according to $\theta_{x,y}(y) = \theta_{x,y}$ and $\theta_{x,y}(x) = -\theta_{x,y}$. If follows from the formula for d that the standard subcalculus $\bar{\Omega}^1$ has a digraph form (as it must do), where $x \to y$ if $\theta_{x,y}(y) \neq 0$. □

Corollary 2.3. *Up to isomorphism, the generalised first order calculi* (Ω^1, θ) *on* $k(X)$ *are in 1-1 correspondence with quiver pairs* (Q, Q') *on* X *with* Q' *a sub-quiver of* Q *without loops and multi-edges (i.e. a quiver containing a digraph). The standard first order calculi on* $k(X)$ *correspond to* $Q = Q'$*, i.e. to digraphs.*

Proof. Given data (Ω^1, θ) as the Proposition above, let $R_{x,y} := \dim_k \Omega^1_{x,y}$, we can define a quiver $Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$ with $Q_0 = X$ and there are $R_{x,y}$ arrows in Q_1 from x to y for any $x, y \in X$. Let kQ^a be the path algebra with multiplication defined by concatenation, then kQ_1^a is a $kQ_0^a(= k(X))$-bimodule. If we choose a basis $\{m^i_{x,y}\}_{i=1}^{R_{x,y}}$ for each $\Omega^1_{x,y}$, then each $\theta_{x,y}$ is a linear combination of $m^i_{x,y}$, where $\theta = \sum_{x,y\in X} \theta_{x,y}$ with $\theta_{x,y} \in \Omega^1_{x,y}$. If $\theta_{x,y} \neq 0$, then we can replace $m^1_{x,y}$ by $\theta_{x,y}$ to form a new basis for $\Omega^1_{x,y}$. It is easy to see that $\Omega^1 = \oplus_{x,y\in X}\Omega^1_{x,y} \cong kQ_1^a$ as $k(X)$-bimodules by identifying the new basis with arrows from x to y in kQ_1^a. The arrows in kQ^a that correspond to non-zero $\theta_{x,y}$ form a sub-quiver of Q, denote the sub-quiver by Q' as desired.

Conversely, given any quiver pair (Q, Q') on X, we can view $kQ_1^a = \oplus_{x,y\in Q_0 = X}(kQ_1^a)_{x,y}$ as a bigraded vector space, where $(kQ_1^a)_{x,y}$ is the subspace spanned by arrows from x to y in kQ_1^a. Define θ the sum of all arrows in Q', then kQ_1^a with $\mathrm{d} = [\theta, \ ] : k(X) = kQ_0^a \to kQ_1^a$ is a generalized first order calculus on $k(X)$. □

Example 2.4. Let X be a finite set, $\Omega^1(X)$ a symmetric digraph calculus, and

$$(\Delta f)(x) = 2 \sum_{y:x\to y} (f(x) - f(y)) g_{y\to x}$$

be the graph Laplacian for any nonsero weights $g_{y\to x}$. These coefficients have the the geometrical intepretation as metric in [8]) with

$$(\ ,\ ) : \Omega^1 \otimes_{k(X)} \Omega^1 \to k(X), \quad (\omega_{x\to y}, \omega_{y'\to x'}) = \delta_{x,x'}\delta_{y,y'} g_{y\to x}\delta_x$$

where $\omega_{x\to y}$ are the basis elements over k of Ω^1, labelled by directed edges. The Laplacian obeys

$$\Delta(fg) = (\Delta f)g + f\Delta g + 2(\mathrm{d}f, \mathrm{d}g).$$

Given such a second order operator one has a 'quantisation' of this standard calculus to a generalised one $(\tilde{\Omega}^1, \tilde{\mathrm{d}})$ with $\tilde{\Omega}^1(X) = k(X)\theta' \oplus \Omega^1(X)$ with new bimodule structure [8]

$$f \bullet \omega = f\omega, \quad \omega \bullet f = \omega f + \lambda(\omega, \mathrm{d}f)\theta', \quad \tilde{\mathrm{d}}f = \mathrm{d}f + \frac{\lambda}{2}(\Delta f)\theta'$$

where $\lambda \in k$ is a parameter. According to the above this is isomorphic to a quiver calculus. We show that this quiver consists of the original graph with the addition of all the identity loops $x \to x$.

Thus, in our example

$$\tilde{\mathrm{d}}\delta_x = \sum_{y\to x} \omega_{y\to x} - \sum_{x\to y} \omega_{x\to y} + \lambda g(x)\delta_x\theta' - \lambda \sum_{y\to x} g_{x\to y}\delta_y\theta'$$

where $g(x)=\sum_{x\to y} g_{y\to x}$. From this we compute $\delta_x(\tilde{\mathrm{d}}\delta_y)\bullet\delta_y$ and arrive at

$$\theta_{x,y}(y)=\omega_{x\to y}-\lambda g_{y\to x}\delta_x\theta',\quad \theta=\sum_{x\to y}\omega_{x\to y}-\lambda g\theta'$$

with zero if x,y are not adjacent. When $x\to y$ we have 1-dimensional $\tilde\Omega^1_{x,y}\subset\tilde\Omega^1$ spanned by $\theta_{x,y}(y)$, which is deformed from $\Omega^1_{x,y}$ (which was spanned by $\omega_{x\to y}$) by the λ term. In addition we have $\tilde\Omega_{x,x}\subset\tilde\Omega^1$ which are 1-dimensional with basis $\delta_x\theta'$. These subspaces need to be in $\tilde\Omega^1$ but together we obtain a decomposition of all of it. We see that it has the quiver form where we add the self-loops. Finally, the standard subcalculus $\bar\Omega^1=\oplus_{x\to y}\tilde\Omega^1_{x,y}$ is clearly isomorphic to the original calculus $\Omega^1(X)$.

3. Generalised bicovariant calculi on Hopf algebras

When A is a Hopf algebra we can ask for Ω^1 to be a bicomodule, i.e. there are commuting coactions

$$\Delta_L:\Omega^1\to A\otimes\Omega^1,\quad \Delta_R:\Omega^1\to\Omega^1\otimes A$$

and we require these to be bimodule maps, where A acts by the tensor product of the actions on A by multiplication and on Ω^1. In addition we ask d to be a bicomodule map. We then say that the generalised calculus is *bicovariant.* If we are given only (say) Δ_L then we say that the calculus is *left covariant.* Note that unlike the standard case, in the generalised theory covariance is additional structure not a property as these coactions, if they exist, need not be unique.

3.1. **Reduction to left invariant forms.** We let $A^+=\ker\epsilon$, the augmentation ideal defined as the kernel of the counit. We recall[5] that a right A crossed module (also called Drinfeld-Radford-Yetter or quantum double module) is a vector space V which is both a right module over A, denoted $\triangleleft$, and a right comodule, denoted Δ_R, such that

$$\Delta_R(v\triangleleft a)=v_0\triangleleft a_{(2)}\otimes Sa_{(1)}v_1a_{(3)},\quad \forall a\in A,\ v\in V;\ \Delta_R v=v_0\otimes v_1$$

We suppose for convenience that A has invertible antipode, then the category $\overset{\times}{\mathcal{M}}{}_A^A$ of crossed modules is braided. Morphisms are maps which commute with both the action and coaction. An example of an object in the category is A^+ with

$$a\triangleleft b=ab,\quad \Delta_R(a)=a_{(2)}\otimes Sa_{(1)}a_{(3)}$$

the right regular action and adjoint coaction respectively. We let $\pi:A\to A^+$, $\pi a=a-\epsilon(a)$ be the counit projection.

Theorem 3.1. *Let A be a Hopf algebra (with invertible antipode). Left covariant generalised calculi on A are isomorphic to ones of the form $\Omega^1=A\otimes\Lambda^1$ and $\mathrm{d}a=a_{(1)}\otimes\omega\circ\pi(a_{(2)})$, given by any data (Λ^1,ω) where Λ^1 is a right A-module and $\omega:A^+\to\Lambda^1$ a right module map. Bicovariant generalised calculi are given by (Λ^1,ω) where Λ^1 is an A-crossed module and ω is a morphism in the category of crossed modules. The image $\bar\Lambda^1=\omega(A^+)$ and ω give the standard subcalculus.*

Proof. The first part of the proof is routine; A left covariant Ω^1 is a left Hopf module (i.e. a left module, a left comodule with left coaction a left module map). By the Hopf module lemma, a left Hopf module Ω^1 is isomorphic to $A \otimes \Lambda^1$ where Λ^1 is given by the space of left invariant elements of Ω^1. The map from $A \otimes \Lambda^1$ is given by the left action and its inverse is $m \mapsto m_{-2} \otimes (Sm_{-1}).m_0$ where we use standard notation for the left coaction and . is the left action. In the other direction, given any vector space Λ^1 we have a left Hopf module on $A \otimes \Lambda^1$ by left multiplication of A and the left coaction of A. Next, and also well-known, under this isomorphism the right Hopf module structure on Ω^1 transfers to a crossed modules structures on Λ^1. Thus given a crossed module we give $A \otimes \Lambda^1$ a left Hopf module and also a right Hopf module structure by

$$(a \otimes v).b = ab_{(1)} \otimes v \triangleleft b_{(2)}, \quad \Delta_R(a \otimes v) = a_{(1)} \otimes v_0 \otimes a_{(2)} v_1$$

in terms of the crossed module structure. The new part of the proof is to more carefully analyse the content of $\mathrm{d} : A \to \Omega^1$. Under our isomorphism this transfers to a map $\mathrm{d} : A \to A \otimes \Lambda^1$ necessarily of the form $\mathrm{d}a = a_{(1)} \otimes \tilde{\omega}(a_{(2)})$ for some map $\tilde{\omega} : A \to \Lambda^1$ defined by $\tilde{\omega}(a) = Sa_{(1)}\mathrm{d}a_{(2)}$, the properties of which can then be deduced.

Equivalently and more explicitly, let Λ^1 be a crossed module and let $\mathrm{d} : A \to A \otimes \Lambda^1$ be a linear map, which we write as $\mathrm{d}a = a^1 \otimes a^2$. We define $\tilde{\omega} = (\epsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})\mathrm{d} : \mathrm{A} \to \Lambda^1$ and conversely left covariance of d in the form $a_{(1)} \otimes {a_{(2)}}^1 \otimes {a_{(2)}}^2 = {a^1}_{(1)} \otimes {a^1}_{(2)} \otimes a^2$ implies by applying ϵ in the middle factor that $a_{(1)} \otimes \tilde{\omega}(a_{(2)}) = \mathrm{d}a$, so left covariant d is equivalent to a linear map $\tilde{\omega}$. That d obeys the product rule is $(ab)^1 \otimes (ab)^2 = a^1 b_{(1)} \otimes a^2 \triangleleft b_{(2)} + ab^1 \otimes b^2$ which implies

$$\tilde{\omega}(ab) = \tilde{\omega}(a) \triangleleft b + \epsilon(a)\tilde{\omega}(b).$$

That d is right covariant is ${a_{(1)}}^1 \otimes {a_{(1)}}^2 \otimes a_{(2)} = {a^1}_{(1)} \otimes {a^2}_0 \otimes {a^1}_{(2)} {a^2}_1$. Applying the counit to the first factor gives $\tilde{\omega}(a_{(1)}) \otimes a_{(2)} = \tilde{\omega}(a_{(2)})_0 \otimes a_{(1)} \tilde{\omega}(a_{(2)})_1$ which is equivalent to $\tilde{\omega} : A \to \Lambda^1$ being equivariant where A has the right adjoint coaction. Conversely, one can check that these properties for $\tilde{\omega}$ imply that d is a differential for $A \otimes \Lambda^1$. Clearly the image $\bar{\Lambda}^1 = \mathrm{image}(\tilde{\omega})$ is a subcrossed module of Λ^1 and one can check that $A \otimes \bar{\Lambda}^1$ is the standard subcalculus inside $A \otimes \Lambda^1$.

Finally, it is convenient (but not necessary) to note that $\tilde{\omega}(1) = 0$ (due to $\mathrm{d}(1) = 0$ and hence $\tilde{\omega} = \omega \circ \pi$ and the two conditions on $\tilde{\omega}$ in terms of $\omega : A^+ \to \Lambda^1$ become that it is a morphism in the category of right modules respectively crossed modules for the two cases. □

Note that $I = \ker \omega$ will be a right ideal in A^+ (ad-invariant in the bicovariant case) but this information determines only $\bar{\Omega}^1$ not all of Ω^1 in the generalised case. This is the main difference in the generalised case compared to the Woronowicz theory in [11].

Corollary 3.2. *Up to isomorphism (bi)covariant generalised differential calculi in Theorem 3.1 are classified by isomorphism classes of pairs* (Λ^1, ω) *(two such pairs are isomorphic if they are as objects and the isomorphism forms a commuting triangle with* A^+*.)*

Proof. Use the previous notations, we show that (Ω^1, d) and $(\Omega^{1\prime}, \mathrm{d}')$ are isomorphic as (bi)covariant differential calculi if and only if the corresponding data (Λ^1, ω)

and $(\Lambda^{1'}, \omega')$ are isomorphic in the category of (crossed) A-modules. On the one hand, let $\varphi : \Omega^1 \to \Omega^{1'}$ be the isomorphism Hopf bimodule map, it is easy to see $\varphi : \Lambda^1 \to \Lambda^{1'}$ is an isomorphism of (crossed) modules, where $\varphi(\Lambda^1) = \Lambda^{1'}$ due to φ is left module map. Then $\varphi \circ \tilde{\omega}(a) = \varphi(Sa_{(1)}\mathrm{d}(a_{(2)})) = Sa_{(a)}\varphi(\mathrm{d}(a_{(2)})) = Sa_{(a)}\mathrm{d}'(a_{(2)}) = \tilde{\omega}'(a)$ imply (Λ^1, ω) and $(\Lambda^{1'}, \omega')$ are isomorphic pairs. On the other hand, if $\varphi : \Lambda^1 \to \Lambda^{1'}$ is a (crossed) module isomorphism compatible with ω, ω', we can define $\Phi : A \otimes \Lambda^1 \to A \otimes \Lambda^{1'}$ maps $a \otimes v$ to $a \otimes \varphi(v)$. It is an A-bimdoule and left A-comodule map in an obvious way. When φ is right A-comodule map, then $\varphi(v)_0 \otimes \varphi(v)_1 = \varphi(v_0) \otimes v_1$ implies $a_{(1)} \otimes \varphi(v)_0 \otimes a_{(2)}\varphi(v)_1 = a_{(1)} \otimes \varphi(v_0) \otimes a_{(2)}v_1$, showing Φ is right A-comodule map as well. Lastly, from $\Phi \circ \mathrm{d}(a) = \Phi(a_{(1)} \otimes \omega \circ \pi(a_{(2)})) = a_{(1)} \otimes \varphi \circ \omega \circ \pi(a_{(2)}) = a_{(1)} \otimes \omega' \circ \pi(a_{(2)}) = \mathrm{d}'a$. We proved that Φ is a (bi)covariant differential calculus isomorphism. □

3.2. **Innerness of bicovariant generalised differential calculi.** For the next results we will refer to the invariant subspace under the right action

$$\Lambda^1_A = \{\eta \in \Lambda^1 \mid \eta \triangleleft a = \eta\epsilon(a), \quad \forall a \in A\} = \{\eta \in \Lambda^1 \mid \eta \triangleleft A^+ = 0\}.$$

This is the subspace in Ω^1 which is left invariant and central for the bimodule structure. In the bicovariant case the crossed module condition ensures that $\Delta_R(\Lambda^1_A) \subseteq \Lambda^1_A \otimes A$ and hence that Δ_R descends to a coaction on Λ^1/Λ^1_A.

Lemma 3.3. *A left covariant generalised differential calculus in Theorem 3.1 is inner if and only if there exists $\theta \in \Lambda^1$ such that $\omega(a) = \theta \triangleleft a$ for any $a \in A^+$. The inner calculus is bicovariant iff we have Δ_R making a crossed module with $\Delta_R(\theta) - \theta \otimes 1 \in \Lambda^1_A \otimes A$.*

Proof. If $(A \otimes \Lambda^1, \mathrm{d})$ constructed from pair (Λ^1, ω) is inner, then there exists $\tilde{\theta} \in A \otimes \Lambda^1$ such that $\mathrm{d} = [\tilde{\theta},\ ]$. Set $\theta = \epsilon \otimes \mathrm{id}(\tilde{\theta}) \in \Lambda^1$. Then $\tilde{\omega}(a) = \epsilon \otimes \mathrm{id}\ (\tilde{\theta}.a - a.\tilde{\theta})$ $= \theta \triangleleft a - \epsilon(a)\theta = \theta \triangleleft \pi(a)$. Hence $\omega(a) = \theta \triangleleft a$ if $a \in A^+$. Conversely, given such an element θ then clearly $\mathrm{d}a = a_{(1)} \otimes \tilde{\omega}a_{(2)} = a_{(1)} \otimes \theta \triangleleft \pi(a_{(2)}) = (1 \otimes \theta).a - a.(1 \otimes \theta) = [\theta, a]$ as required. Moreover, if $\omega(a) = \theta \triangleleft a$ and if we have a crossed module then the condition that ω is right A-comodule map is equivalent to $\tilde{\omega} : A \to \Lambda^1$ is a right A-comodule map, which is $\theta_0 \triangleleft \pi(a_{(2)}) \otimes Sa_{(1)}\theta_1 a_{(3)} = \theta \triangleleft \pi(a_{(2)}) \otimes (Sa_{(1)})a_{(3)}$ for all $a \in A$, i.e. $\theta \triangleleft \pi(a) \otimes 1 = \theta_0 \triangleleft \pi(a) \otimes \theta_1$ for all $a \in A$. This is $(\Delta_R(\theta) - \theta \otimes 1) \triangleleft A^+ = 0$. □

Proposition 3.4. *Left covariant (resp bicovariant) generalised calculi on A are classified by isomorphism classes of pairs $(\Lambda^1, [\theta])$ where Λ^1 is a right (resp right crossed) A-module and $[\theta] \in \Lambda^1/\Lambda^1_A$ (with Δ_R-invariant $[\theta]$ for the bicovariant case).*

Proof. We chose representatives for $[\theta]$ and $[\theta']$ and obtain equivalence $(\Lambda^1, \theta) \sim (\Lambda^{1\prime}, \theta')$ if there is a right module isomorphism $\varphi : \Lambda^1 \to \Lambda^{1\prime}$ such that $\varphi(\theta) - \theta' \in \Lambda^1_A$. Similarly, inner bicovariant generalised calculi up to isomorphism correspond to pairs (Λ^1, θ) where Λ^1 is a crossed A-module and $\Delta_R\theta - \theta \otimes 1 \in \Lambda^1_A \otimes A$ and equivalence requires in addition that φ is a comodule map. One direction of the proof here is covered by Lemma 3.3. Conversely, given Λ^1 and $\theta \in \Lambda^1$ we define $\omega : A^+ \to \Lambda^1$ by $\omega(a) = \theta \triangleleft a$. It is obvious that ω is a right A-module map. If Λ^1 is a crossed module then $(\Delta_R(\theta) - \theta \otimes 1) \triangleleft A^+ = 0$ implies that ω is a morphism in the category of right A-crossed modules as required in the bicovariant case. The isomorphism classes of (Λ^1, ω) in the two cases reduce to the equivalences claimed. One can then interpret the result as stated, where an isomorphism class means a morphism φ such that $\varphi([\theta]) = [\theta']$ on the relevant quotient spaces. $\square$

Next we note that as $\bar{\Omega}^1 \subseteq \Omega^1$, the latter being inner is a weaker statement than $\bar{\Omega}^1$ being inner as it may be that $\theta \notin \bar{\Lambda}^1$.

Proposition 3.5. *For a left covariant generalised calculus on A in Theorem 3.1, the standard subcalculus $\bar{\Omega}^1$ is inner iff there exists an element $\mu \in A$ such that $\mu A^+ \subseteq \ker\omega$ and $\epsilon(\mu) = -1$. Then $\theta = \omega(\mu + 1)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.3 applied to the standard subcalculus, being inner is equivalent to the existence of $\theta \in \bar{\Lambda}^1$ with $\omega(a) = \theta \triangleleft a$ for all $a \in A^+$. Then $\theta = \omega(\mu + 1)$ for some $\mu + 1 \in A^+$, such that $\omega(\mu + 1) \triangleleft a = \omega(a)$ for all $a \in A^+$. But ω is a right module map so this is equivalent to $\omega(\mu a) = 0$ for all $a \in A^+$. $\square$

This observation appears to be new even for standard differential calculi. The following special case is, however, well-known and we mention it for completeness.

Corollary 3.6. *Any finite-dimensional semisimple A has all left covariant calculi inner.*

Proof. If the Hopf algebra is finite-dimensional and semisimple then we can take μ to be the integral, which exists in A and obeys $\mu a = 0$ for all $a \in A^+$ and can be normalised to $\epsilon(\mu) = -1$. This then works uniformly for any calculus on A. $\square$

Here μ corresponds geometrically to right-invariant integration $\int : A^* \to k$ and Proposition 3.5 says that more generally what we need is a 'partially right invariant' integration

$$\int : A^* \to k, \quad \int h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)} = \int h \otimes 1, \quad \forall h \in I^0 = \{h \in A^* \mid h(I) = \{0\}\}$$

This suggests an interesting duality between a property of differentials on A and some kind of integration on A^* at least in the finite-dimensional case, which we have not seen noted before.

3.3. Exterior algebra of a generalised bicovariant calculus.

The differential graded algebra or exterior algebra (Ω, d) extending a given first order generalised calculus (Ω^1, d) over an algebra A is an $\mathbb{N}$-graded algebra $\Omega = \oplus_{n \geq 0} \Omega^n$ admitting Ω^1 and $\Omega^0 = A$, and the linear map $\mathrm{d} : \Omega \to \Omega$ extending $\mathrm{d} : A \to \Omega^1$ is a super derivation such that $\mathrm{d}^2 = 0$ and $\mathrm{d}(uv) = (\mathrm{d}u)v + (-1)^n u\mathrm{d}v$ for all $u \in \Omega^n, v \in \Omega$. The exterior algebra is inner if $\mathrm{d} = [\theta, \ \}$ for some $\theta \in \Omega^1$, where $[\theta, u\} = \theta u - (-1)^n u\theta$ for $u \in \Omega^n$.

When A is a Hopf algebra, we first consider the left covariant situation where we suppose that Ω is a left A-Hopf module with Δ_L restricting to the given left coaction on Ω^1, in addition, we ask Ω is a left A-comodule algebra.

Proposition 3.7. *Let* (Λ^1, ω) *give a left covariant generalised calculus in the setting of Theorem 3.1. The left covariant differential graded algebra* (Ω, d) *extending* Ω^1 *are in correspondence with pairs* (Λ, δ) *where* Λ *extends* Λ^1 *as an* $\mathbb{N}$*-graded right* A*-module algebra with* $\Lambda^0 = k$*, and* $\delta : \Lambda \to \Lambda$ *is a degree 1 super derivation such that*

$$\delta^2 = 0, \quad (\delta\eta)\triangleleft a - \delta(\eta\triangleleft a) = \omega(\pi(a_{(1)}))(\eta\triangleleft a_{(2)}) - (-1)^{|\eta|}\eta\triangleleft a_{(1)}\omega(\pi(a_{(2)})), \quad \forall a \in A$$

$$\delta\omega(a) + \omega(\pi(a_{(1)}))\omega(\pi(a_{(2)})) = 0, \quad \forall a \in A^+.$$

Proof. By the Hopf module lemma, $\Omega \cong A{\triangleright\!\!\!<}\Lambda$ for the graded algebra of left-invariant differential forms. To be more specific, let $\Lambda = \oplus_{n\geq 0}\Lambda^n$ with $\Lambda^n := {}^{\mathrm{co}A}\Omega^n$ the left invariant subspaces of Ω^n for all n. Here Λ^n's are right A-modules by $v\triangleleft a = Sa_{(1)}.v.a_{(2)}$. Because $\Delta_L(vw) = 1\otimes vw$ for all $v \in \Lambda^n$ and $w \in \Lambda^m$ shows $vw \in \Lambda^{n+m}$. Then $(vw)\triangleleft a = Sa_{(1)}.vw.a_{(2)} = Sa_{(1)}.v.a_{(2)}Sa_{(3)}.w.a_{(4)} = (v\triangleleft a_{(1)})(w\triangleleft a_{(2)})$ for all $v, w \in \Lambda$, $a \in A$ implies Λ is $\mathbb{N}$-graded right A-module algebra. In fact, the left A-Hopf modules as well as right A-modules isomorphism $\beta : \Omega \to A{\triangleright\!\!\!<}\Lambda$ is an algebra isomorphism. This follows from $\beta(v)\beta(w) = (v_{-2}\otimes Sv_{-1}v_0)\cdot(w_{-2}\otimes Sw_{-1}w_0) = v_{-2}w_{-3}\otimes(Sv_{-1}v_0)\triangleleft w_{-2}Sw_{-1}w_0 = v_{-2}w_{-4}\otimes Sw_{-3}Sv_{-1}v_0w_{-2}Sw_{-1}w_0 = v_{-2}w_{-2}\otimes Sv_{-1}Sw_{-1}v_0w_0 = \beta(vw)$, where $\cdot$ denotes the multiplication in the smash product algebra $A{\triangleright\!\!\!<}\Lambda$. Under this isomorphism, the graded derivation on Ω transfer to the graded derivation d on $A{\triangleright\!\!\!<}\Lambda$, which is also a left comodule map.

The super derivation d with $\mathrm{d}^2 = 0$ on $A{\triangleright\!\!\!<}\Lambda$ necessarily has the form $\mathrm{d}(a \otimes \eta) = a_{(1)} \otimes \tilde{\omega}(a_{(2)})\eta + a \otimes \delta\eta$ for the linear map $\delta : \Lambda \to \Lambda$ obtained as the restriction of d to left-invariant forms. Obviously, $\delta^2 = 0$ as d^2 does. Since $\mathrm{d}^2 a = \mathrm{d}(a_{(1)} \otimes \tilde{\omega}(a_{(2)})) = a_{(1)} \otimes \tilde{\omega}(a_{(2)})\tilde{\omega}(a_{(3)}) + a_{(1)} \otimes \delta\tilde{\omega}(a_{(2)})$, so $\mathrm{d}^2 a = 0$ is equivalent to $\delta\tilde{\omega}(a) + \tilde{\omega}(a_{(1)})\tilde{\omega}(a_{(2)}) = 0$ for any $a \in A$, which is also equivalent to $\delta\omega(a) + \tilde{\omega}(a_{(1)})\tilde{\omega}(a_{(2)}) = 0$ for any $a \in A^+$.

For any $\eta \in \Lambda$ and $a \in A$ in $A{\triangleright\!\!\!<}\Lambda$, $\mathrm{d}(\eta\cdot a) = (\delta\eta)\cdot a + (-1)^{|\eta|}\eta\cdot \mathrm{d}a = a_{(1)}\otimes(\delta\eta)\triangleleft a_{(2)} + (-1)^{|\eta|}a_{(1)} \otimes (\eta\triangleleft a_{(2)})\tilde{\omega}(a_{(3)})$, while $\mathrm{d}(a_{(1)} \otimes \eta\triangleleft a_{(2)}) = a_{(1)} \otimes \tilde{\omega}(a_{(2)})(\eta\triangleleft a_{(3)}) + a_{(1)} \otimes \delta(\eta\triangleleft a_{(2)})$. Then $\eta \cdot a = a_{(1)} \otimes \eta\triangleleft a_{(2)}$ in $A{\triangleright\!\!\!<}\Lambda$ induces $\mathrm{d}(\eta \cdot a) = \mathrm{d}(a_{(1)} \otimes \eta\triangleleft a_{(2)})$, which is equivalent to $(\delta\eta)\triangleleft a - \delta(\eta\triangleleft a) = \tilde{\omega}(a_{(1)})\eta\triangleleft a - (-1)^{|\eta|}(\eta\triangleleft a_{(1)})\tilde{\omega}(a_{(2)})$.

The 'if part' is also true. For any pair (Λ, δ) in the setting, we can define the graded derivation on $A{\triangleright\!\!\!<}\Lambda$ by $\mathrm{d}(a \otimes \eta) = a_{(1)} \otimes \tilde{\omega}(a_{(2)})\eta + a \otimes \delta\eta$ for all $a \in A$ and $\eta \in \Lambda$. The only left to show is $\mathrm{d}^2(a \otimes \eta) = 0$ for all $a \in A$ and $\eta \in \Lambda$. It is valid, since $\mathrm{d}^2(a\otimes\eta) = a_{(1)} \otimes \tilde{\omega}(a_{(2)})\tilde{\omega}(a_{(3)})\eta + a_{(1)} \otimes \delta(\tilde{\omega}(a_{(2)})\eta) + a_{(1)} \otimes \tilde{\omega}(a_{(2)})\delta\eta + a \otimes \delta^2\eta = a_{(1)} \otimes \tilde{\omega}(a_{(2)})\tilde{\omega}(a_{(3)})\eta + a_{(1)} \otimes \delta\tilde{\omega}(a_{(2)})\eta = 0$. □

Next, we say the differential graded algebra (Ω, d) on the Hopf algebra A is bicovariant if there exist Δ_L, Δ_R on Ω making it a Hopf-bimodule, an A-bicomodule algebra and d is a bicomodule map.

Proposition 3.8. *In the setting of Proposition 3.7 with* (Λ^1, ω) *bicovariant, the differential graded algebra* (Ω, d) *is bicovariant iff* Λ *an algebra in the category of right* A*-crossed modules, and the graded derivation* $\delta : \Lambda \to \Lambda$ *satisfying the conditions in Proposition 3.7 is a right* A*-comodule map.*

Proof. The first part is routine, under the isomorphism $\Omega\cong A{\triangleright\!\!\!<}\Lambda$ in Proposition 3.7, the right Hopf module structure on Ω is equivalent to a crossed module structure on Λ. If the product of Ω is a right A-comodule map, then so is the product of Λ, and vice verse. As to the graded derivation, note again δ is a restriction of d, so δ is a right A-comodule map as d does. Conversely, if δ is a right A-comodule map, then $\Delta_R(\mathrm{d}(a\otimes\eta))=a_{(1)}\otimes\tilde{\omega}(a_{(3)})_0\eta_0\otimes a_{(2)}\tilde{\omega}(a_{(3)})_1\eta_1+a_{(1)}\otimes(\delta\eta)_0\otimes a_{(2)}\otimes(\delta\eta)_1=a_{(1)}\otimes\tilde{\omega}(a_{(4)})\eta_0\otimes a_{(2)}S(a_{(3)})a_{(5)}\eta_1+a_{(1)}\otimes\delta\eta_0\otimes a_{(2)}\eta_1=a_{(1)}\otimes\tilde{\omega}(a_{(2)})\eta_0\otimes a_{(3)}\eta_1+a_{(1)}\otimes\delta\eta_0\otimes a_{(2)}\eta_1=\mathrm{d}(a_{(1)}\otimes\eta_0)\otimes a_{(2)}\eta_1=((\mathrm{d}\otimes\mathrm{id})\circ\Delta_R)(a\otimes\eta)$, in which we use that $\tilde{\omega}:A\to\Lambda^1$ is a right A-comodule map as given in Theroem 3.1. $\square$

As to the inner case,

Proposition 3.9. *Let* (Λ^1,θ) *define an inner generalised left covariant calculus and suppose that* Λ^1 *extends to an algebra* Λ *in the category of right* A*-modules. Then* $\delta\eta=[\theta,\eta\}$ *meets the conditions in Proposition 3.7 and we have a left covariant differential complex* (Ω,d) iff $\theta^2\in\Lambda_A\cap Z(\Lambda)$ *(i.e.* $\theta^2{\triangleleft}A^+=0$ *and* θ^2 *central in* Λ*). Moreover, let* (Λ^1,θ) *define a bicovariant calculus as in Lemma 3.3. Then* (Ω,d) *is bicovariant as in Proposition 3.8* iff *and* Λ *is an algebra in the category of right* A*-crossed modules and* $[\Delta_R\theta-\theta\otimes1,\Delta_R\eta\}=0$ *for all* $\eta\in\Lambda$*.*

Proof. We show that δ defined by θ meets all the conditions of Proposition 3.7 and 3.8. Clearly $\delta^2\eta=\delta(\theta\eta-(-1)^{|\eta|}\eta\theta)=\theta^2\eta-\eta\theta^2=0$ for all $\eta\in\Lambda$ requires θ^2 to be central in Λ. Next, note that Λ is right A-module algebra, we have $(\delta\eta){\triangleleft}a-\delta(\eta{\triangleleft}a)=(\theta\eta){\triangleleft}a-(-1)^{|\eta|}(\eta\theta){\triangleleft}a-\theta(\eta{\triangleleft}a)+(-1)^{|\eta|}(\eta{\triangleleft}a)\theta=(\theta{\triangleleft}a_{(1)})(\eta{\triangleleft}a_{(2)})-(-1)^{|\eta|}(\eta{\triangleleft}a_{(1)})(\theta{\triangleleft}a_{(2)})+(-1)^{|\eta|}(\eta{\triangleleft}a)\theta=\tilde{\omega}(a_{(1)})(\eta{\triangleleft}a_{(2)})-(-1)^{|\eta|}\ (\eta{\triangleleft}a_{(1)})\tilde{\omega}(a_{(2)})$ for all $a\in A$. Now, for any $a\in A^+$, $\tilde{\omega}(a_{(1)})\tilde{\omega}(a_{(2)})=(\theta{\triangleleft}\pi(a_{(1)}))(\theta{\triangleleft}\pi(a_{(2)}))=(\theta{\triangleleft}(a_{(1)}-\epsilon(a_{(1)}))(\theta{\triangleleft}(a_{(2)}-\epsilon(a_{(2)}))=(\theta{\triangleleft}a_{(1)})(\theta{\triangleleft}a_{(2)})+(\theta{\triangleleft}\epsilon(a_{(1)}))(\theta{\triangleleft}\epsilon(a_{(2)}))-(\theta{\triangleleft}a)\theta-\theta(\theta{\triangleleft}a)=\theta^2{\triangleleft}a-(\theta{\triangleleft}a)\theta-\theta(\theta{\triangleleft}a)$ while $\delta\omega(a)=\delta(\theta{\triangleleft}a)=\theta(\theta{\triangleleft}a)+(\theta{\triangleleft}a)\theta$ for any $a\in A^+$, so $\delta\omega(a)+\tilde{\omega}(a_{(1)})\tilde{\omega}(a_{(2)})=0$ holds for all $a\in A^+$ precisely when $\theta^2\in\Lambda_A$ (this is also immediate from $\mathrm{d}^2a=0$). For the bicovariant case, the equation $[\Delta_R\theta-\theta\otimes1,\Delta_R\eta\}=0$ is equivalent to $\delta=[\theta,\ \}$ being a right A-comodule map. $\square$

It is known that ordinary bicovariant differential graded algebras in the Woronowicz construction are super-Hopf algebras. Motivated by this in our generalised setting we say that a differential graded algebra (Ω,d) with $\Omega^0=A$ (a Hopf algebra) is *strongly bicovariant* if Ω is a graded super-Hopf algebra with odd/even part given by the parity of the grading and the super derivation d is also a 'super coderivation' in the sense

$$\Delta\circ\mathrm{d}(w)=(\mathrm{d}\otimes\mathrm{id}+(-1)^{|w^1|}\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{d})\circ\Delta(w),\quad\forall w\in\Omega,\tag{3.1}$$

where $\Delta=(\ )^1\otimes(\ )^2$ is the graded super coproduct of Ω. By assumptions this respects the grading so that $\Delta:\Omega^n\to\oplus_{i,j=0}^{i+j=n}\Omega^i\otimes\Omega^j$. This condition (3.1) is an arrow-reversed notion of super derivation so that our notion of strongly bicovariant is symmetric in the same way that the axioms of a Hopf algebra are symmetric. When A and the components Ω^i are finite dimensional, the graded dual Ω^* becomes a codifferential graded algebra over A^* via d^* as a super derivation and coderivation as before but has degree -1.

This novel super coderivation property of d appears to be a new observation even in the usual Woronowicz case and will be studied further elsewhere. Our terminology is justified by the following lemma.

Lemma 3.10. *Any strongly bicovariant differential graded algebra is bicovariant.*

Proof. Denote the projection from $\Omega = \oplus_{n\geq 0}\Omega^n$ to $\Omega^0 = A$ by Π. Then $\Delta_L := (\Pi\otimes \mathrm{id})\circ\Delta$ and $\Delta_R := (\mathrm{id}\otimes\Pi)\circ\Delta$ make Ω a A-bicomodule, from the coassociativity of the coproduct Δ and that it respects the grading. The Hopf bimodule and A-bicomodule algebra structure easily follow from the fact that Δ is algebra map. Apply $\Pi\otimes\mathrm{id}$ (resp. $\mathrm{id}\otimes\Pi$) to the both sides of $\Delta\circ \mathrm{d} = (\mathrm{d}\otimes\mathrm{id}\pm\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{d})\circ\Delta$, we have $\Delta_L\circ\mathrm{d} = \mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{d}\circ\Delta_L$ (resp. $\Delta_R\circ\mathrm{d} = \mathrm{d}\otimes\mathrm{id}\circ\Delta_R$). Thus, d is an A-bicomodule map. $\square$

Theorem 3.11. *In the setting of Proposition 3.8, the differential graded algebra* (Ω, d) *is strongly bicovariant iff* Λ *is a super-braided Hopf algebra in the category of right* A*-crossed modules with coproduct in form:* $\underline{\Delta}(\eta) = 1\otimes\eta + \cdots + \eta\otimes 1$ *for all* $\eta\in\Lambda$, *and* δ *obeys*

$$(\delta\eta)^1\otimes(\delta\eta)^2 = \delta\eta^1\otimes\eta^2 + (-1)^{|\eta^1|}\eta^1\otimes\delta\eta^2 + (-1)^{|\eta^1|}(\eta^1)_0\otimes\omega(\pi((\eta^1)_1))\eta^2 \tag{3.2}$$

for all $\eta\in\Lambda$. *Here* $\underline{\Delta} = (\ )^1\otimes(\ )^2$ *denotes the braided coproduct of* Λ *while* $\Delta_R = (\ )_0\otimes(\ )_1$ *denotes the right coaction on it.*

Proof. The correspondence between super-Hopf algebra structures is super version of Radford's Theorem[9] in the braided-category interpretation due to the first author. Under this super-Hopf algebra isomorphism $\Omega\cong A{\triangleright\!\!\!<}\Lambda$, the coproduct on $A{\triangleright\!\!\!<}\Lambda$ is $\Delta(a\otimes\eta) = a_{(1)}\otimes(\eta^1)_0\otimes a_{(2)}(\eta^1)_1\otimes\eta^2$ for any $a\in A$ and $\eta\in\Lambda$. Then light computation shows the bicoactions constructed by $(\Pi\otimes\mathrm{id})\circ\Delta$ and $(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Pi)\circ\Delta$ are the same ones induced from the crossed module structure of Λ if and only if $\underline{\Delta}(\eta)$ is of the form $1\otimes\eta+\cdots+\eta\otimes 1$. This means in particular that $\underline{\Delta}\eta = \eta\otimes 1 + 1\otimes\eta$ for $\eta\in\Lambda^1$.

Note that $\Delta(\eta) = 1\otimes(\eta^1)_0\otimes(\eta^1)_1\otimes\eta^2$ in $A{\triangleright\!\!\!<}\Lambda$, then Eq.(3.1) implies Eq.(3.2) by direct computation. Conversely, recall $\mathrm{d}(a\otimes\eta) = a_{(1)}\otimes\tilde\omega(a_{(2)})\eta + a\otimes\delta\eta$, and note that $\underline{\Delta}(\tilde\omega(a)) = \tilde\omega(a)\otimes 1 + 1\otimes\tilde\omega(a)$ and $\underline{\Delta}(vw) = (-1)^{|v^2||w^1|}v^1(w^1)_0\otimes(v^2{\triangleleft}(w^1)_1)w^2$ in Λ for any $a\in A$ and $\eta, v, w\in\Lambda$. then the left hand side of Eq.(3.1) $\Delta\circ\mathrm{d}(a\otimes\eta) = a_{(1)}\otimes(\tilde\omega(a_{(2)})\eta)^1{}_0\otimes a_{(1)}(\tilde\omega(a_{(2)})\eta)^1{}_1\otimes(\tilde\omega(a_{(2)})\eta)^2 + a_{(1)}\otimes(\delta\eta)^1{}_0\otimes a_{(2)}(\delta\eta)^1{}_1\otimes(\delta\eta)^2 = a_{(1)}\otimes\tilde\omega(a_{(2)})(\eta^1)_0\otimes a_{(3)}(\eta^1)_1\otimes\eta^2 + (-1)^{|\eta^1|}a_{(1)}\otimes(\eta^1)_0\otimes a_{(2)}(\eta^1)_1\otimes(\tilde\omega(a_{(3)}){\triangleleft}(\eta^1)_2)\eta^2 + a_{(1)}\otimes\delta((\eta^1)_0)\otimes a_{(2)}(\eta^1)_1\otimes\eta^2 + (-1)^{|\eta^1|}a_{(1)}\otimes(\eta^1)_0\otimes a_{(2)}(\eta^1)_1\otimes\delta(\eta^2) + (-1)^{|\eta^1|}a_{(1)}\otimes(\eta^1)_0\otimes a_{(2)}(\eta^1)_1\otimes\tilde\omega((\eta^1)_2)\eta^2$, which meets the right hand side of Eq.(3.1), recalling again that $\tilde\omega(ab) = \tilde\omega(a){\triangleleft}b + \epsilon(a)\tilde\omega(b)$ for any $a, b\in A$. $\square$

Proposition 3.12. *The inner bicovariant calculus* (Ω, d) *in Proposition 3.9 is strongly bicovariant iff* Λ *is a super-braided Hopf algebra in the category of right* A*-crossed modules with coproduct in form* $\underline{\Delta}(\eta) = 1\otimes\eta+\cdots+\eta\otimes 1$ *and* $\eta^1\theta_0\otimes\eta^2{\triangleleft}\theta_1 = \eta^1\theta\otimes\eta^2$ *for all* $\eta\in\Lambda$.

Proof. We verify that Eq.(3.2) holds automatically in the inner case. The left hand side is $\underline{\Delta}\circ\delta(\eta) = \underline{\Delta}(\theta\eta - (-1)^{|\eta|}\eta\theta) = (-1)^{|\eta^1|}(\eta^1)_0\otimes(\theta{\triangleleft}(\eta^1)_1)\eta^2 + \theta\eta^1\otimes\eta^2 - (-1)^{|\eta|}\eta^1\otimes\eta^2\theta - (-1)^{|\eta^1|}\eta^1\theta_0\otimes\eta^2{\triangleleft}\theta_1$. And the right hand side is: $\delta\eta^1\otimes$

$\eta^2 + (-1)^{|\eta^1|}\eta^1 \otimes \delta\eta^2 + (-1)^{|\eta^1|}(\eta^1)_0 \otimes \tilde{\omega}((\eta^1)_1)\eta^2 = \theta\eta^1 \otimes \eta^2 - (-1)^{|\eta^1|}\eta^1\theta \otimes \eta^2 + (-1)^{|\eta^1|}\eta^1 \otimes \theta\eta^2 - (-1)^{|\eta|}\eta^1 \otimes \eta^2\theta + (-1)^{|\eta^1|}(\eta^1)_0 \otimes (\theta\triangleleft(\eta^1)_1)\eta^2 - (-1)^{|\eta^1|}\eta^1 \otimes \theta\eta^2$. This meets the left hand side after cancelling the third and last terms in it and provided the condition on θ holds. Note that the stated condition on θ means in particular that $\theta_0 \otimes \eta\triangleleft\theta_1 = \theta \otimes \eta$, i.e. $\Psi(\eta \otimes \theta) = \theta \otimes \eta$ for all $\eta \in \Lambda^1$. □

Finally, it remains to construct Λ, which we do in the bicovariant case where Λ^1 is an A-crossed module and A has invertible antipode. Indeed, associated to Λ^1 an object of an abelian braided category and $\Psi : \Lambda^1 \otimes \Lambda^1 \to \Lambda^1 \otimes \Lambda^1$ the braiding in the category, we have a super-Hopf algebra $\Lambda = B_-(\Lambda^1)$ in the braided category defined as the tensor algebra modulo the ideal generated by the kernel of the antisymmetrizers defined by Ψ. This is sometimes called the Nichols-Woronowicz algebra associated to Λ^1, but note that its (super) braided-Hopf algebra structure is due to Majid, see[7]. Here the coproduct is the additive one on Λ^1 and if Λ^1 is rigid then this construction is equivalent to the quotient by the kernel of the braided super-Hopf algebra pairing between $T\Lambda^1$ and $T\Lambda^{1*}$, a construction introduced in [6]. The bosonisation of this is an ordinary super Hopf algebra which in our case we interpret by an extension of the isomorphism in Theorem 3.1 as the exterior algebra $\Omega(A) = A{\triangleright\!\!\!<}\Lambda$.

Clearly, given bicovariant (Λ^1, ω) on A we can reduce Theorem 3.11 to data $\delta_1 : \Lambda^1 \to \Lambda^1 \otimes \Lambda^1$ so as to generate the required δ on $B_-(\Lambda^1)$ when projected to Λ^2. It is straightforward but unilluminating to write out all the conditions on δ_1 for this to extend as a well-defined super derivation with the required properties. Here we limit ourselves to the important inner case where $\delta_1 = \theta \otimes (\ ) + (\ ) \otimes \theta$:

Corollary 3.13. *Let Λ^1 be an object in the category of right A-crossed modules and $\theta \in \Lambda^1$ be such that $\Delta_R(\theta) - \theta \otimes 1 \in \Lambda^1_A \otimes A$ as in Lemma 3.3. Suppose that*

$$\Psi(\theta \otimes \theta) = \theta \otimes \theta, \quad \Psi(\eta \otimes \theta) = \theta \otimes \eta, \quad \{\Delta_R(\theta) - \theta \otimes 1, \Delta_R(\eta)\} = 0$$

for all $\eta \in \Lambda^1$. Then $\omega(a) = \theta\triangleleft a$ for all $a \in A^+$ and $\delta = [\theta, \ \}$ provides an inner strongly bicovariant differential calculus according to Proposition 3.12.

Proof. Note that $B_-(\Lambda^1)$ is generated by Λ^1. The first displayed condition ensures that $\theta^2 = 0$ and the others are from the analysis above. Also note that we used the anticommutator $\{\ ,\ \}$ since $\eta \in \Lambda^1$ in the covariance condition. □

In particular, all these conditions hold if $\Delta_R\theta = \theta \otimes 1$. So any right-invariant element of Λ^1 gives a strongly bicovariant exterior algebra $\Omega = A{\triangleright\!\!\!<}B_-(\Lambda^1)$. This completes the exterior algebra in the bicovariant generalised case, as a reworking and generalisation of the Woronowicz approach. We call $\Omega(A, \Lambda^1)$ the super-Hopf quiver associated to a Hopf algebra A, its calculus (Λ^1, ω) and the additional datum for the extension. One similarly has a symmetric version of the above construction namely a Hopf algebra $\mathcal{S}(A, \Lambda^1) = A{\triangleright\!\!\!<}B_+(\Lambda^1)$ where we symmetrize rather than antisymmetrize Λ^1 to obtain a braided-Hopf algebra $\mathcal{E} = B_+(\Lambda^1)$.

4. Hopf quivers

Here we specialise Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 to $A = k(G)$ on a (finite) group G. Denote by $\mathcal{C}$ the set of all the conjugacy classes of G.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $A = k(G)$ on a (finite) group, the bicovariant data (Λ^1, ω) in Theorem 3.1 are equivalent to:*

1) Λ^1 *a G-graded space i.e.* $\Lambda^1 = \oplus_{g\in G}\Lambda^1_g$;
2) Λ^1 *a left G-module such that* $h{\triangleright}\Lambda^1_g = \Lambda^1_{hgh^{-1}}$, $\forall g, h \in G$;
3) $|\mathcal{C}| - 1$ *elements* $\{\omega_c \in \Lambda^1_c \mid Z_c{\triangleright}\omega_c = \omega_c\}_{C\in\mathcal{C}}$, *in which C ranges over the set $\mathcal{C}\setminus\{e\}$ of all non-trivial conjugacy classes.*

where Z_c is the centralizer of a fixed representative $c \in C$ and $|\mathcal{C}|$ is the number of conjugacy classes of G.

Proof. It is well known that a vector space is a right $k(G)$-crossed module if and only if it is a left kG-crossed module. So Λ^1 is a right $k(G)$-crossed module is equivalent to the statements 1) and 2). Note here $\Lambda^1_g := \Lambda^1{\triangleleft}\delta_g$ and $\Delta_R(v) = \sum_{h\in G} h{\triangleright}v \otimes \delta_h$.

The right $k(G)$-crossed module map $\omega : (k(G))^+ \to \Lambda^1$ is uniquely defined by $\{\omega_g := \omega(\delta_g) \in \Lambda^1_g | \, g \in G, \, g \neq e\}$. For each non-trivial conjugacy class C of G, choose some $c \in C$, then we have $|\mathcal{C}| - 1$ elements $\omega_c \in \Lambda^1_c$. These are the data stated in 3). Since $\Delta_R(\omega(\delta_c)) = \Delta_R(\omega(\delta_c){\triangleleft}\delta_c) = \sum_{h\in G}\omega(\delta_{hch^{-1}}) \otimes \delta_h$, which means $\omega_{hch^{-1}} = \omega(\delta_{hch^{-1}}) = h{\triangleright}\omega(\delta_c) = h{\triangleright}\omega_c$, for any $h \in G$. So $Z_c{\triangleright}\omega_c = \omega_c$.

Conversely, given the data in 3) and our fixed representatives $c \in C$ for each C, we set $\omega(\delta_g) = \omega_g$ and $\omega_g := h{\triangleright}\omega_c$ for any $g \in G$, $g \neq e$ and $g = hch^{-1}$ where $h \in G$. This gives a well-defined map $\omega : (k(G))^+ \to \Lambda^1$ since if $g = hch^{-1} = h'ch'^{-1}$, then $h' = hu$ for some $u \in Z_c$, so $h'{\triangleright}\omega_c = h{\triangleright}(u{\triangleright}\omega_c) = h{\triangleright}\omega_c$. Note here $\omega(\delta_g) = h{\triangleright}\omega_c \in \Lambda^1_{hch^{-1}}$ i.e. $\omega(\delta_g) \in \Lambda^1_g$. By construction, if $k{\triangleright}\omega(\delta_g) = k{\triangleright}h{\triangleright}\omega_c = (kh){\triangleright}\omega_c = \omega(\delta_{khch^{-1}k^{-1}}) = \omega(\delta_{kgk^{-1}})$ for any $k \in G$, which is equivalent to say that $\omega : (k(G))^+ \to \Lambda^1$ is a right $k(G)$-crossed map. □

To be more specific:

Corollary 4.2. *Given the data in Proposition 4.1, the corresponding bicovariant generalised FODC on $k(G)$ is given by: $\Omega^1 = k(G).\Lambda^1$ with $\mathrm{d} = [\theta, \ ]$, where $\theta = \sum_{\substack{g\in G\\ g\neq e}} \omega_g \in \Lambda^1$ and $\omega_g := h{\triangleright}\omega_c$ if $g = hch^{-1}$ for some $c \in C$ and $h \in G$. The $k(G)$-(co)module structures are:*

$$v.f = R_g(f).v, \ \ \Delta_R(\delta_x.v) = \sum_{h\in G} \delta_{xh^{-1}}.(h{\triangleright}v) \otimes \delta_h, \ \ \textit{for any } v \in \Lambda^1_g.$$

Here $R_g(f) = f((\ )g)$ is right-translation.

Proof. The proof follows from the formula in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Note that $\Delta_R(v) = \sum_{h\in G} h{\triangleright}\, v \otimes \delta_h$ and $v.\delta_h = \delta_{hg^{-1}}.v$ for any $v \in \Lambda^1_g$. □

We remark that the right $k(G)$-crossed module map ω is in one-to-one correspondence with the data $\{\omega_g = h{\triangleright}\omega_c \in \Lambda^1_g\}_{g\in G,\, g\neq e}$, which is uniquely determined by the data in 3), and doesn't depend on the choice of c in each conjugacy class C.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $A = k(G)$ on a (finite) group, up to isomorphism, the bicovariant generalised calculi on $k(G)$ are in 1-1 correspondence with data:*

1) $\{R_C \in \mathbb{N}_0 | \, C \in \mathcal{C}\}$;

2) $\{r_C \in \{0,1\} \,|\, r_C \leq R_C,\, r_{\{e\}} = 0,\, C \in \mathcal{C}\}$.

Proof. Consider the corresponding data in Proposition 4.1. Since h in invertible, we have $\dim_k \Lambda^1_g = \dim_k \Lambda^1_{hgh^{-1}}$ for any $h \in G$. So the dimension of Λ^1_g remains constant on each conjugacy class. Denote $R_C := \dim_k \Lambda^1_g \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for some $g \in C$, on $C \in \mathcal{C}$. Specially, consider the data given in Proposition 4.1 3). Since $\omega_g = h \triangleright \omega_c$ if $g = hch^{-1}$ for some $c \in C$, then $\omega_c = 0$ if and only if $\omega_g = 0$ for all g conjugacy to c. Denote $r_C = 1$ if $\omega_c \neq 0$ for $c \in C$, and $r_C = 0$ otherwise. For completion, we set $r_{\{e\}} = 0$. Here we associate each bicovariant generalised calculi with a pair of data $\{R_C\}$ and $\{r_C\}$ as desired.

If $(\Lambda^1, \omega) \cong (\Lambda'^1, \omega')$, then there is a right $k(G)$-crossed module isomorphism $\varphi : \Lambda^1 \to \Lambda'^1$ such that $\varphi \circ \omega(\delta_g) = \omega'(\delta_g)$ for any $g \in G$, $g \neq e$. Thus $\Lambda'^1_g = \varphi(\Lambda^1_g)$ imply $\dim_k \Lambda'^1_g = \dim_k \Lambda^1_g$, for any $g \in G$. On the other hand, $\omega'(\delta_g) = \varphi \circ \omega(\delta_g)$ imply $\omega'(\delta_g) = 0$ if and only if $\omega(\delta_g) = 0$, for any $g \in G$, $g \neq e$. Hence, we have the same data $\{R_C\}$ and $\{r_C\}$ for isomorphic bicovariant generalised FODC.

Now, for given data $\{R_C\}$ and $\{r_C\}$, we set $\Lambda^1_g = k\text{-span}\{e^{(1)}_g, \ldots, e^{(R_C)}_g\}$ for $g \in C$ and $\Lambda^1 = \oplus_{g \in G} \Lambda^1_g$, Define the left G-action on Λ^1 by $h \triangleright e^{(i)}_g = e^{(i)}_{hgh^{-1}}$. Then $h \triangleright \Lambda^1_g = \Lambda^1_{hgh^{-1}}$ naturally. For each non-trivial conjugacy class C of G, pick some $c \in C$, set $\omega_c = e^{(1)}_c$ if $r_C = 1$, and set $\omega_c = 0$ otherwise. Apparently, $Z_c \triangleright \omega_c = Z_c \triangleright e^{(1)}_c = e^{(1)}_c = \omega_c$. Here we construct the data in Proposition 4.1, which determine a bicovariant generalised FODC on $k(G)$ uniquely and has the same $\{R_C\}$ and $\{r_C\}$ as its construction. $\square$

Note that we can also set $\omega_c = \sum_{i=1}^{R_C} e^{(i)}_c$ for $c \in C$ with $r_C = 1$ in the proof above to construct a bicovariant generalised differential calculus. We call the corresponding representative canonical.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $A = k(G)$ on a (finite) group. Given the data $\{R_C\}$ and $\{r_C\}$ in Proposition 4.3, the canonical representative data in Proposition 4.1 are:*

$$\Lambda^1_g = k\text{-span}\{e^{(i)}_g\}_{i=1}^{R_C}, \quad h \triangleright e^{(i)}_g = e^{(i)}_{hgh^{-1}}, \text{ for any } g, h \in G,$$

$$\omega_c = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{R_C} e^{(i)}_c & \text{if } r_C = 1 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

where $c \in C$ and $C \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{e\}$. The corresponding inner bicovariant GFODC on $k(G)$ is given by

$$\Omega^1 = k(G).\Lambda^1, \quad e^{(i)}_g.f = R_g(f).e^{(i)}_g, \quad \Delta_R(e^{(i)}_g) = \sum_{h \in G} e^{(i)}_{hgh^{-1}} \otimes \delta_h,$$

$$\mathrm{d}f = \sum_{\substack{C \in \mathcal{C} \\ r_C = 1}} \sum_{a \in C} (R_a(f) - f)\omega_a, \quad \theta = \sum_{\substack{C \in \mathcal{C} \\ r_C = 1}} \sum_{a \in C} \sum_{i=1}^{R_C} e^{(i)}_a.$$

Here $R_a(f) = f((\ )a)$ is right-translation.

Proof. The element $\omega_c \in \Lambda^1_c$ here is invariant under Z_c, since $e^{(i)}_c$ is. The rest of proof follows from Corollary 4.2. $\square$

As a special case of $k(X)$, the generalised first order calculi on $k(G)$ should correspond with certain kind of quiver pairs as in Corollary 2.3. As promised, these are Hopf quivers.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $A = k(G)$ on a (finite) group, up to isomorphism, the bicovariant generalised first order calculi (Ω^1, d) on A are in 1-1 correspondence with Hopf quiver pairs $(Q, \bar{Q})$, where Q is the Hopf quiver and $\bar{Q}$ is a sub-Hopf quiver which is a digraph. The exterior algebra in Corollary 3.13 is the Hopf super algebra in* [4] *and its symmetric algebra version is the associative Hopf algebra in* [3] *with Hopf (super)algebra structure constructed from the trivial kG-Hopf bimodule.*

Proof. Consider the associative data $\{R_C\}$ and $\{r_C\}$ for (Ω^1, d) in Proposition 4.3. Denote $R = \sum_{C\in\mathcal{C}} R_C$ and $r_C = \sum_{C\in\mathcal{C}} r_C$, both are ramification data of group G. Then we can set $Q = Q(G, R)$ and $\bar{Q} = Q(G, r)$, pair of Hopf quivers. Here $\bar{Q}$ is the sub-Hopf quiver of Q and a digraph, since $r_C \leq R_C$ and $r_C \in \{0, 1\}$.

Now we identify the linear vector space $\Omega^1 = k(G).\Lambda^1$ in Corollary 4.4 above with kQ_1^a, the space of all arrows in the path algebra kQ. Since $R_C = \dim_k \Lambda_a^1$ for any $a \in C$ with $C \in \mathcal{C}$, then we can identify $\delta_x \otimes e_a^{(i)}$ with $p_{x\xrightarrow{(i)}xa}$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, R_C$. The induced $k(G)$-Hopf bimodule from $k(G).\Lambda^1$ on kQ_1^a are

$$\delta_h.p_{x\xrightarrow{(i)}xa} = \delta_{h,x}p_{x\xrightarrow{(i)}xa},\ p_{x\xrightarrow{(i)}xa}.\delta_h = \delta_{h,xa}p_{x\xrightarrow{(i)}xa};$$

$$\Delta_L(p_{x\xrightarrow{(i)}xa}) = \sum_{h\in G} \delta_h \otimes p_{h^{-1}x\xrightarrow{(i)}h^{-1}xa},\ \Delta_R(p_{x\xrightarrow{(i)}xa}) = \sum_{h\in G} p_{xh^{-1}\xrightarrow{(i)}xah^{-1}} \otimes \delta_h.$$

It is the trivial $k(G)$-Hopf bimodule and defines a unique Hopf (super)algebra structure on path algebra kQ^a.

Consider the canonical representative calculus given in Corollary 4.4, the elements $e_a^{(i)} \in \Lambda^1$ is $\sum_{x\in G} p_{x\xrightarrow{(i)}xa}$ in path algebra kQ^a. So the derivative $\mathrm{d} = [\theta,\ ]$ with $\theta = \sum_{\substack{C\in\mathcal{C}\\ r_C=1}} \sum_{a\in C} \sum_{i=1}^{R_C} e_a^{(i)}$ become $\sum_{\substack{C\in\mathcal{C}\\ r_C=1}} \sum_{a\in C} \sum_{i=1}^{R_C} p_{x\xrightarrow{(i)}xa}$ the sum of all the arrows except loops in $\bar{Q}$.

□

References

[1] Andruskiewitsch, N., Angiono, I. and Yamane, H. On pointed Hopf superalgebras. ArXiv preprint http://arxiv.org/abs/1009.5148

[2] T. Brzezinski, Remarks on bicovariant differential calculi and exterior Hopf algebras, Lett. Math. Phys. 27 (1993) 287300.

[3] C. Cibils, M. Rosso, Hopf quivers, J. Algebra 254 (2002) 241-251.

[4] H.-C. Hong, H.-L. Huang, Hopf superquivers, Chin. Ann. Math. 32B(1) (2011) 1-12.

[5] S. Majid, *A Quantum Groups Primer*, L.M.S. Lect. Notes 292 (2002) 179 pp

[6] S. Majid, Double bosonisation of braided groups and the construction of $U_q(g)$, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.125 (1999) 151-192

[7] S. Majid, Classification of differentials on quantum doubles and finite noncommutative geometry, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Maths 239 (2004) 167-188, Marcel Dekker

[8] S. Majid, Noncommutative Riemannian geometry of graphs, 21pp. arXiv:1011.5898(math.QA)

[9] D. Radford, The structure of Hopf algebras with a projection, J. Algebra, 92 (1985), 322-347.

[10] F. Van Oystaeyen, P. Zhang, Quiver Hopf algebras, J. Algebra 280(2) (2004) 577-589.

[11] S. L. Woronowicz, Differential calculus on compact matrix pseudogroups (quantum groups), Comm. Math. Phys. 122 (1989), 125–170.

Queen Mary University of London, School of Mathematical Sciences, Mile End Rd, London E1 4NS, UK

E-mail address: `s.majid@qmul.ac.uk, w.tao@qmul.ac.uk`